

What Are the Sun and Moon For?

Lights, living creatures, and reading Genesis with care.

[Click to listen - What Are the Sun and Moon For? | Genesis 1:14-23](#)

When you look at the sun and moon, you might notice their brightness first. Genesis 1:14-23 asks us to notice their work: marking time, giving light, and distinguishing day from night. Roger Ferguson and Yu Song read the fourth and fifth creation days together, listen to Jewish and Christian interpreters, and follow the conversation into Jesus' teaching about birds and worry.

Notice the verbs before settling the questions

Read Genesis 1:14-19 slowly. The lights mark signs, appointed times, days and years. They illuminate the earth and distinguish day from night. The passage gives created lights a role within God's ordering of the world. Our conversation asks how readers understand the appointed times and seasons without pretending that a word's possible meanings settle its meaning here.

Let the interpreters remain distinct

Philo, Rashi, Ramban and Calvin lived in different settings and ask different questions. Philo reflects on plants appearing before the lights. Rashi connects appointed times with Israel's future festivals; Ramban draws attention to seasonal cycles. Calvin distinguishes the ordinary appearance of the lights from astronomical measurement. These are particular interpreters' readings, not interchangeable summaries of all Judaism or Christianity. The source guide below identifies the passages and corrects historical slips in the live discussion.

From lights to living creatures

Genesis 1:20-23 moves to creatures in the waters and birds above the earth. Notice the movement of the narrative and the blessing to multiply. The Hebrew and Greek animal terms discussed in the episode do not by themselves identify a particular modern species. Read the passage before turning a translation or an illustration into a zoological conclusion.

Birds, work and worry

Matthew 6:24-34 invites us to consider the birds while seeking God's kingdom and righteousness. A later saying in Mishnah Kiddushin 4:14 also uses animals and birds in a reflection on livelihood. We compare their reasoning without claiming that Jesus borrowed from that later teacher, or that either saying represents every Jewish or Christian view of work and grace.

Read with conviction and care

This is a live learning conversation. Roger's thematic connections and philosophical judgments remain his own. Similar calendars do not establish a proposed ancestry, and a theological interpretation is not a direct quotation. Keep the written corrections and distinctions below beside the episode. They identify what the cited texts say, what the speakers infer, and what remains unverified.

Your reading path

Begin with Genesis 1:14-23. Then read Matthew 6:24-34 and ask what each passage emphasizes. What purposes do the lights serve? What changes when the story turns to living creatures? What does Jesus ask His

hearers to notice about the birds? Our next planned portion is Genesis 1:24-2:3; this is a reading invitation, not a claim that the next episode is available.

Scripture And Our Next Reading

Primary passage: Genesis 1:14-23. Read the whole chapter:

<https://biblehub.com/bsb/genesis/1.htm>

Jesus throughline: Matthew 6:24-34, especially 6:25-27 and 6:33-34:

<https://biblehub.com/bsb/matthew/6.htm>

Also discussed: Genesis 2:10-14; 8:22; 15:9-10; Leviticus 1:14-17; 23:9-22; Deuteronomy 4:19; Psalm 104:27-28; Luke 2:22-24; Revelation 19:17-18; 21:1; 22:1-2.

Reading text: Berean Standard Bible, third printing, public domain. Live paraphrases, interjections and reading slips are not exact BSB quotations. Compare the passages for the wording itself.

<https://berean.bible>

Next planned reading: Genesis 1:24-2:3 - land creatures, humanity and the seventh day. This is the intended range where the closing reference is stumbled.

The Readers We Discuss

Philo was a Greek-speaking Jewish thinker in Alexandria, active in the first century AD. In *On the Creation* §§45-46, he explains plants appearing before the heavenly lights in terms of God's priority over created causes. That is Philo's interpretation, not a sentence Genesis states. His larger work includes ancient philosophical and astronomical ideas; one passage is not a summary of all first-century Jewish thought.

C. D. Yonge translation, *On the Creation*:

<https://www.earlychristianwritings.com/yonge/book1.html>

Rashi (AD 1040-1105), on Genesis 1:14, connects the appointed times with festivals Israel will later observe. His words "This refers to the future" appear in Rabbi A. J. Rosenberg's translation:

https://www.chabad.org/library/bible_cdo/aid/8165/showrashi/true/jewish/Chapter-1.htm

Dates: <https://www.nli.org.il/en/a-topic/987007266790705171>

Ramban, also called Nahmanides (AD 1194-1270), reads Genesis 1:14 alongside the seasons in Genesis 8:22. At Genesis 1:22 he discusses the creatures' power to reproduce. Ramban is the commentator intended in this discussion; he is not Rambam, Maimonides. These similar names must not be treated as interchangeable.

https://www.sefaria.org/Ramban_on_Genesis.1.14?lang=bi

https://www.sefaria.org/Ramban_on_Genesis.1.22?lang=bi

John Calvin (AD 1509-1564), commenting on Genesis 1:16, distinguishes ordinary description of the lights as people experience them from astronomical measurement. He does not tell readers to reject astronomy.

Commentary on Genesis, volume 1, translated by John King, Genesis 1:16:

<https://www.ccel.org/ccel/c/calvin/calcom01/cache/calcom01.pdf>

Mishnah Kiddushin 4:14 attributes the animal-and-bird livelihood saying to Rabbi Shimon ben Elazar. The Mishnah was compiled around AD 200, and this teacher belongs to generations after Jesus. Similar reasoning does not establish direct borrowing. The rabbinic saying connects difficult livelihood with wrongdoing; Matthew 6 emphasizes the Father's care and seeking His kingdom and righteousness. Neither passage can represent every Jewish or Christian view of work, obedience, grace or hardship.

Koren-Steinsaltz translation:

https://www.sefaria.org/Mishnah_Kiddushin.4.14

Teacher's historical setting:

Words And Research Companions

The Hebrew plural moadim comes from moed. The word's contextual meaning is the issue; a list of possible meanings does not decide the verse. HALOT places Genesis 1:14 under its festival sense, while Ramban's commentary highlights seasonal cycles. The Greek Septuagint uses kairos language, and its lexicon gives "season" for this verse. The Hebrew tanninim and Greek ketos word family do not by themselves specify a modern animal species.

- Ludwig Koehler, Walter Baumgartner et al., The Hebrew and Aramaic Lexicon of the Old Testament, Brill, 1994-2000: moed, pp. 557-558; maor, p. 539; tannin.
- Walter Bauer et al., A Greek-English Lexicon of the New Testament and Other Early Christian Literature, 3rd edition, revised and edited by Frederick William Danker, University of Chicago Press, 2000: kairos, pp. 498ff.; ketos.
- Johan Lust, Erik Eynikel and Katrin Hauspie, A Greek-English Lexicon of the Septuagint, revised edition: kairos; ketos.
- Michael Carasik, The Commentators' Bible: Genesis, JPS/University of Nebraska Press, 2018: Genesis 1:14-23.
- John L. Thompson, editor, Genesis 1-11, Reformation Commentary on Scripture, Old Testament I, IVP, 2012: Genesis 1:14-25.
- NET Bible, First Edition Notes: Genesis 1:14-22, notes 30-45 in the researched literary unit.
- New Bible Commentary: Genesis 1:1-2:3 and Matthew 6:19-34.
- IVP Bible Background Commentary: Old Testament: Genesis 1:1-2:3.

The episode's preparation used the actual passages and headword entries in Logos. These are research companions, not endorsements of MMAB by their authors or publishers.

Reading Corrections And Limits

About 16-18 minutes: Rosh Chodesh refers to the beginning of the month. It should not be confused with ruach ha-kodesh, "the Holy Spirit." The medieval seasonal commentator is Ramban/Nahmanides, as identified above.

About 20-24 minutes: The Chinese and Jewish calendars are lunisolar, but that similarity does not establish common ethnic ancestry or a particular migration. The conversation's connections between Chinese origins, Shem, Mesopotamia, Sinim and Sichuan artifacts are the speakers' hypotheses, not independently established findings. No specific artifact number or excavation report substantiating those connections was identified for this episode. An appearance described as "not East Asian" does not by itself identify a people's ancestry.

Calendar comparison:

<https://www.hko.gov.hk/en/gts/time/calendarinfo.htm>

<https://aa.usno.navy.mil/faq/calendars>

About 25-26 minutes: The spring festivals should not be described simply as planting festivals. Leviticus 23:9-22 includes firstfruits and grain-harvest observances. The Gregorian calendar is solar: its year remains related to the earth's orbit and seasons even though its months do not track the moon's phases.

<https://biblehub.com/bsb/leviticus/23.htm>

<https://aa.usno.navy.mil/faq/calendars>

About 30-34 minutes, with a later recap: Calvin was a Reformation-era writer, not an Enlightenment-era observer using telescopes. He died in AD 1564. The early telescope discussed by Museo Galileo dates to AD 1608, and Galileo's work followed in AD 1609. The Betelgeuse illustration therefore must not be taken as the historical circumstances behind Calvin's commentary. Roger's argument about Scripture as wisdom and meditation is his

theological judgment; the chronology does not establish its proposed historical explanation.

Karin Maag, Calvin University:

<https://calvin.edu/about/history/john-calvin.html>

Museo Galileo:

<https://catalogue.museogalileo.it/multimedia/TelescopeBis.html>

About 36 minutes: The origin-of-life discussion is Roger's theological and philosophical evaluation, not a sourced survey of experimental research. The episode does not establish the categorical assertion that relevant natural processes cannot be tested. Questions about ultimate divine purpose should be distinguished from what a particular experiment can test; this episode does not settle the scientific debate.

About 43-46 and 53-54 minutes: The connections among waters, death, birds, heaven and renewed creation are Roger's thematic interpretation. They are not evidence that every ancient Hebrew thought identically. Revelation 21:1 says there is no longer a sea; Revelation 22:1-2 still describes life-giving water. Genesis 2:10-14 names four rivers, but Revelation 22 does not name those four rivers. Genesis 15:10 says the birds were not cut in half; it does not say they were stacked. Leviticus 1:14-17 gives a more detailed procedure for a bird burnt offering, including removal of the crop and opening the bird without completely dividing it. Consult these texts separately before treating the conversation's connections as direct quotations.

<https://biblehub.com/bsb/genesis/2.htm>

<https://biblehub.com/bsb/genesis/15.htm>

<https://biblehub.com/bsb/leviticus/1.htm>

<https://biblehub.com/bsb/revelation/21.htm>

<https://biblehub.com/bsb/revelation/22.htm>

MMAB is for Jesus. We state our convictions, show our sources and leave room for you to examine the evidence and decide where you stand.

All teaching remains free. Voluntary support:

<https://ko-fi.com/modernmindancientbook>

Public contact: roger@modernmindancientbook.org

Find the Way-Meet Rabbi Jesus.

Walk the Way - Modern Mind, Ancient Book.